Approved For Release 2001/03/06 : CIA-RDP83-01022R000100180030-2

APR 2 8 1964

Tempo of NASA CO istrator James E. Webb criticized JPL in a letter to Chairman Anderson and Miller of the

By WILLIAM HINES Star Staff Writer

The apparent molassos-in-January tempo of dealings

			•				
comment	02	17	٠.			οſ	- 14 4
managem							

Major Research Confor

The apparent molassessing January tempo of dealings between the space agency and one of its key laboratories has drawn the fire of a concressional inquiry.

A House Space subcountage is looking into the National Acronauties and Space Liministration's it an age of program which has failed in four consecutive attempts to photograph the moon.

Another Ranger flight is set for this summer and two more for 1965.

Hearings into the Ranger program opened yesterday with Representative Karth, Democrat of Minnesota, in the chair, Repeatedly, during testimony by a ranking NASA official, Mark and the chair of the chair of the moon.

Another in cheer gives the more and two more for 1965.

Hearings into the Ranger program opened yesterday with Representative Karth, Democrat of Minnesota, in the chair, Repeatedly, during testimony by a ranking NASA official, Mark and the content of the chair of the chair

Two Years Mare Mapsel.

Mr. Kard's questions brough out the fact that two years have elapsed between the time of Ranger management change at JPL was demonded by NASI and the time of the years charmen asked how long it would take to make a similar change at NASI center.

Again for these two periods.

Inc. Ranger for the Ranger for t

The Ranger inquiry was or-Schate and House Space Committees on March 31, about two months after the last-minute failure of Ranger VI to take photos of the moon as it plummeted to destruction on the lunar surface.

ord priority had been a Ranger for these two

center.

"I could crear my Missa of Acquise, does not get off the pad centers to do it right away, but with other 0000 or Ranger will I usually don't do this because to made before early 1965, Dr. it is not conducive to good Newell said.